



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

TAL  
Docket No: 6081-13  
18 June 2014

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10, United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 11 June 2014. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.


You enlisted in the Navy and began a period of active duty on 11 February 1993. You received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for failure to obey a lawful regulation. In your last enlisted evaluation report for the period of 16 December 1996 through 10 June 1998, which submitted on the occasion of your separation from active duty, you were removed from training status due to disciplinary reasons. On 10 June 1998, you were honorably released from active duty and assigned an RE-4 (not recommended for retention) reenlistment code. On 14 December 2000, you were honorably discharged from the Navy Reserve and not recommended for reenlistment.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth, overall record of service, and desire to change your

reenlistment code for possible reentry into the armed forces. Nevertheless, the Board concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant a change in your reenlistment code given the non-recommendation for reenlistment which was sufficient to support the assignment of an RE-4. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Robert D. Zsalmán".

ROBERT D. ZSALMAN  
Acting Executive Director